

*State of Peleliu v. State of Koror*, 6 ROP Intrm. 169 (1997)  
**STATE OF PELELIU,**  
**Appellant,**

v.

**STATE OF KOROR, in its own behalf and as  
successor in interest to the Municipality of Koror,**  
**Appellee.**

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3-96 and 14-96  
Civil Action No. 180-95

Supreme Court, Appellate Division  
Republic of Palau

Order denying rehearing  
Decided: June 6, 1997

Counsel for Appellant: Douglas F. Cushnie.

Counsel for Appellee: Johnson Toribiong,  
Antonio L. Cortes

BEFORE: ARTHUR NGIRAKLSONG, Chief Justice; JEFFREY L. BEATTIE, Associate  
Justice; LARRY W. MILLER, Associate Justice.

PER CURIAM:

The appellant, State of Peleliu (“Peleliu”), has moved for rehearing in the above-captioned appeal. We deny the motion, but clarify our previous opinion in one respect.

In footnote 4 of that opinion, we left open the possibility of determining “cases where two or more States have a legitimate boundary dispute based on an unresolved conflict between the former municipalities as to the appropriate boundaries.” Our reference there was limited to circumstances where the municipal charters of the respective States were themselves in conflict with respect to the boundaries of each municipality. Here, as noted in our previous opinion and as Peleliu concedes in its motion, the municipal charters of Koror and Peleliu are not in conflict. Accordingly, appellant’s motion for rehearing is DENIED.